ADJOURNMENT MOTIONS.

PRESIDENT : I have received an adjournment motion from Mr. Varkala K. Madhavan which reads as follows :

"I move that the business of the House be adjourned to discuss a matter of urgent public importance viz., the distribution of yarn unsuitable for the looms."

STATEMENT.

"The Government have registered the looms through out the State and the particular counts required for the looms are known to the Additional Textile Commiss oner. The quota allotted yeasterday revealed that for looms using 80^s, 50^s, and 40^s and 20^s counts in Trivandrum, Nedumangad, Chirayinkil, Neyyattinkara, etc., are awarded 30^s, 28^s, 2/20^s and 10½^s counts. This has crea ed a dead-lock. If the weaver is compelled to accept this the whole lot will have to go to the black market. The Central Advisory Board constituted for Textile and yarn was not called on the 6th as had been fixed. Their advice is neither sought nor abided by. The irregularity in textile distribution continues."

This seems to be exactly the negation of an adjournment motion. It is an argument for the purpose of holding a meeting of the Central Advisory Committee. What has Mr. Madhavan to say?

MR. VARKALA K. MADHAVAN (*Neyyattinkara* cum *Trivandrum*) : I want to bring to the notice of the House that the weavers are put to very great difficulty when they are asked to buy yarn which they do not use.

PRESIDENT : In the nature of things, if the Government had been appraised of it last evening by a letter, this morning the matter would have been dealt with. First of all the Additional Textile Commissioner is not represented in this House. He may come in two or three days later. If the honourable member had brought to my notice the matter earlier, I would have disposed of it one way or the other.

MR. VARKALA K. MADHAVAN : I do not press. I only wanted to bring the matter to the notice of the Government.

PRIVILEGES OF THE HOUSE

MR. K. K. CHELLAPPAN PILLAI (*Mavelikara* cum *Kunnatur*) : Sir, I wish to bring to the notice of this Honourable House a very serious matter affecting the privileges, honour and the dignity of the House and of its individual members as representing the people of this country. The "Kerala Kaumudi" dated 2nd Mithunam 1120 published a sub-leader under caption "വഞ്ചി പൂ ഫണ്ടിനെ തിരെ" (Against Vanchi Poor Fund). This is the article :—

"മധുര ദേവിസ്വം ഫിനാൻസ് സംബന്ധിച്ച നടപടികളുടെ ഉദ്ഘാടനത്തിനായി നവാബ് രാജമാണിക്കം ഈ സംസ്ഥാനത്തു് വന്നതേക്കം ആരോഗ്യനികുതി കൊടുത്തിട്ടുണ്ടോ എന്നും, ഇക എന്താണെന്നും ഒരു ചോദ്യം മി. വെള്ളപ്പൻപിള്ള അസംബ്ലിയിൽ ചോദിച്ചു ഒരു 'കുടിയ്ക്കുക' പിടിച്ചു നിർത്തിച്ചിരിക്കുന്നു. അങ്ങനെയുടെ അനുഗ്രഹം ധാരാളമുള്ള പ്പോൾ, ബജറ്റിമാനാക്കാൻ ശ്രമിക്കുന്നതിൻറെ ലോകത്വം, മി. പിള്ളക്ക് തോന്നിയതിൽ തെറ്റുപറയുവാൻ കഴിയുന്നതല്ല. ഇതുപോലുള്ള ഒരു ചോദ്യം ഉന്നയിച്ചതിനെ ഞങ്ങൾ അപലപിക്കുന്നു."

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly